

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,520	BORGAT, LOUIS	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Darren W. Ark	3643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Darren W. Ark.

(2) Sebastien Clark.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 February 2006

**Time:** 2:00pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Rejections of the Non-Final Action mailed 9/21/2005*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 4, 13, and 16*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DARREN W. ARK**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that in regard to claim 1, Hurner 5,184,797 discloses a rod shaft (44) with primary reel seat (metal plate with through hole of 44; reel seat not particularly claimed) and at least one guiding eyelet (the through hole receiving threaded fastener with wing nut thereon) capable of receiving a line therethrough; a hollow cylinder (12) with cavity and mounting foot (the threaded fastener with wing nut thereon in Fig. 2); an auxiliary reel seat (from which 9 extends) with a reel (7) having a foot (9). Examiner proposed to amend claim 1 such that the line extends through the at least one eyelet as we discussed in the interview on 1/4/2006 and also that the at least one guide extends from the shaft so that it is clear that the guide is on the shaft and not at another location since in Roberts 4,827,654 has an eyelet (defined by 16 in Fig. 3) that serves same purpose and is connected to rod shaft (36) but does not extend from the shaft (36) and instead extends from the cylinder (12). In regard to claim 13, the Examiner suggested a similar amendment wherein the line is recited as extending through the eyelet since claim 13 does not yet recite the line extending through the eyelet and therefore does not overcome Hurner '797 because of that. Examiner proposed to amend claims 4 and 16 for claim objections and 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph problems only. Applicant agreed to the proposed changes. See the Examiner's Amendment for details.